

Maximising Redemption: God At The Reigns

"As the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways and my thoughts than your thoughts."

Isaiah 55:9

Forbidden Planet

For those who ascribe to "rising above" the negative and meditating upon peace and harmony, this is a wonderful philosophy and a vision that if all humanity embraced the world would be a much better place. Unfortunately, you can redeem some of humanity all of the time, most of humanity some of the time, but you can't redeem all of humanity all of the time. Therefore, we need to accept "the dark side" of our psyches and learn to embrace and manage rather than suppress and deny. In some ways it's a bit like the movie "Forbidden Planet" where there is a metaphysical destructive evil threatening lives on of the planet. The movie sees the characters fighting this overwhelming evil, but at the end resolution comes by the father learning to recognize and thus manage his own jealousy.

There are those suffering from intolerance that are not taking ownership of their "dark side" and mistakenly think that by suppressing the other that all evil will be removed from the world. The irony is that this form of fascism actually creates a greater evil than those who just let go and trust God (The funny article below outlines the other issues associated with the common options for the believer when faced with an overtly wicked environment):

<http://www.townhall.com/opinion/columns/dougfiles/2006/01/21/183334.html>

Accepting Change Means Overcoming Denial

There are also those who would be classified as amaleks because their behavior is consistent with this passage from the following link:

"However, Amalek denies that any power runs the world. It's all blind, accidental, happenstance. As Rabbi Tzaddok says, they believe in nothing. From this position, it is most difficult to accept the Almighty God.

Amalek had a vested interest in attacking the Jewish people, whose very existence proved the existence of God to the world. They proved that spiritual powers exist, and that there are absolute values. Amalek needed to attack the Jews, as they had struck a major blow for the twin ideas of God's existence and absolute morality."

http://www.aish.com/purimthemes/purimthemesdefault/Remembering_Amalek.asp

Muslims are also grappling with similar issues such as what is meant by the term kafir. http://www.insidebayarea.com/sanmateocountytimes/faith/ci_3395002 this article's interpretation would be consistent with the Jewish amalek concept:

We have concluded that the word "kafir" is derived from the Arabic root words kaf, fay and ray — "kufr" which means to cover, conceal or hide with the intention of misleading or misinforming. Just as sophisticated, premeditated

perjury can become treason, a kafir can be considered someone who has committed treason against an overwhelmingly explained, omnipotent God.

The intentional deceit must be proven beyond doubt in order for someone to be classified as an infidel. Therefore, people who never had the opportunity to learn about God, and therefore remain ignorant, cannot rightfully be called kafirs.

Furthermore, Christians, Jews, Hindus, Buddhists, Sikhs and other religious followers say, "I believe in God." So, it is not for any Muslim, scholar, imam or mullah to say that anyone who does not also take Prophet Mohammed as Messenger of God is a kafir. That decision rests only with God, the ultimate judge.

An interesting review of the new book "The Spiritual Dimension" (Cambridge University Press) by John Cottingham was published whilst writing this paper <http://telegraph.co.uk/opinion/main.jhtml?xml=/opinion/2006/01/21/do2107.xml>.

The key points from the review are that the initial attempts by the Dominican priest, Fr Victor White to reconcile theology and analytical psychology was gigantic, and that there was an initial impasse between Jung and the theologians. Jung wanted to "build up the symbol of the perfect contradiction in God by adding the darkness to the lumen de lumine" (bit like Richard Dawkins wanting meme theory to be ungodly). [These deluded souls who think by insulting God that their works are no longer of God's creation!] White's response was that darkness, in the sense of evil, was a privation: one could talk about light without mentioning darkness, but not about darkness except in terms of light. Satan and Christ were certainly not counterparts.

Now "Prof Cottingham wants to heal the triangle of hostility between British (analytic) philosophy, religion and psychoanalysis. It follows from his consideration of religion not as a bare set of doctrines but as a practical living system in which the whole person takes part. Prof Cottingham invokes the "symbolic" aspect of religion (which he later observes in the rich connotations of the seemingly simple phrase in the Lord's Prayer "Give us this day our daily bread"). For him, psychoanalysis is a deeply moral project, of the kind that Augustine attempted, as outlined in his Confessions. It is a question of integrating morality into the uncompartimentalised self."

This parallels my own observations that we must accept our "dark side" and work to integrate the complete psyche. Many of humanity's major catastrophes have come from the failure to accept and work to mitigate our basic drives and urges. There is enormous potential for growth, dialogue and reconciliation within and between ourselves if we start to differentiate and integrate our basic instinctive drives from our human egos from the higher consciousness that comes through grace.

There was an interesting series recently produced by the Australian Broadcasting Corporation, ABC in conjunction with the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation with Discovery Networks International called "Passion and Fury: The Emotional Brain" (see flyer link below).

http://www.abc.net.au/abccontentsales/programsalesworldwide/download/passion_flyer.pdf

The above program has been dismissed out of hand by some parties, I think in part because it demonstrated how meditating with compassion reduces fear responses. Sections from the fear and anger episodes are interesting for theologians – both in terms of understanding what is possible (how people bring out their best in the worst of circumstances) but also in terms of ministering to their flocks (e.g. recognizing those who have survived an extraordinary experience and might be support). Resistance to exploring across theological boundaries is not unusual e.g. the resistance to the invitation of the Dalai Lama to a US Neurological conference below: <http://www.madison.com/wsj/home/column/index.php?ntid=58684&ntpid=35> As Bill Wineke comments there are those who are trying to polarize religion and science to stop meaningful discussion and analysis:

“The Dalai Lama and Davidson have been willing to work together in what appears to be a valid scientific effort to find the facts about meditation and its effect on the brain. The Dalai Lama took the risk of putting his views up for scientific study; Davidson took the risk of actually studying them. Personally, I applaud that. It may turn out that Davidson's findings can't be replicated. If not, they by definition are not science. If they can be replicated, they may turn out to have real value in helping human beings operate in this complex world. But if you're going to study meditation, doesn't it make sense to work with one of the world's best-known meditators, as Davidson did? And, if you want to test the scientific validity of meditation, doesn't it make sense to work with a reputable scientist like Davidson, which is what the Dalai Lama did? If science just rejects all "religious" insight out-of-hand, then it isn't the religious folk who are upholding a "flat-earth" philosophy.”

All Honor To God

While we are on the subject of dismissing possibilities out-of-hand; thank you to the scholars and priestly castes who have dismissed my works because I am “no expert” or theologically “incorrect”. I will cede the point that I am no expert in any area or religion; as that would be against God’s purpose for this soul. For those who still continue their slander campaigns, thank you for fulfilling biblical prophecy. **The LORD will extend your mighty scepter from Zion; you will rule in the midst of your enemies. (Psalm 110:2)** (Although only Jesus has the authority to rule, he does raise others to pass observations and make suggestions – see [Isaiah 40](#) especially [Isaiah 40:9-14](#)).

And again for those who find Jesus an anathema, if reconciliation to the God is possible, then it is possible when God confirms his anointed messengers, this particular messenger’s anointment was contingent upon confirming Jesus’ unique divine role. The biblical God of the Book of Truth is the Ultimate Creator recognized by all godly religions e.g. [Isaiah 40:25-31](#), [Isaiah 48:1-11](#). That God has created Jesus to fulfill a unique overseeing role. (Once again for those who insist, this is God’s way of stopping the squabbling over who has pre-eminence. It is the same as when God made clear that Aaron was Moses’ successor in [Numbers 17:1-11](#). This is not a license for any Christian group to intimidate, suppress or destroy anyone else. They shame their father when they attack each other and their neighbors. Christians were meant to be God’s ambassadors, not the biggest bullies in the playground).

Further, for those who continue to deny the truth of this testimony, you have forsaken the right to claim ownership for its development. Thus all honor for the training and development of this acolyte must go God, for no human can claim to have co-operated or guided the tuition. By denying that I am a Christian, you have made these works belong to no particular religion, and by making it of no particular religion the lessons have mutual relevance for all the religions.

From this point it is easy to write with authority and confidence, but that confidence has only come about by the Father's continuing confirmations, and an awareness that all continues subject to God's grace and all can be swept away as dust should I succumb to arrogance. After accepting God's will to attempt these works back in 2001, I had to determine what would lead God to think I even had a chance and realized there were some Grounds for Hope (see attached paper). However, these grounds were so ephemeral that it would have been foolish to proceed on the basis of these small signs alone. Instead, I recognized there was a possibility of success, but that any strategy that did not have God at the helm was destined for disaster (I lack the strength or character to be able to remain free from the deceiver's delusions and am completely dependent on God for my sanity).

"For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways," declares the LORD.

"As the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways and my thoughts than your thoughts.

As the rain and the snow come down from heaven, and do not return to it without watering the earth and making it bud and flourish, so that it yields seed for the sower and bread for the eater, so is my word that goes out from my mouth: It will not return to me empty, but will accomplish what I desire and achieve the purpose for which I sent it.

You will go out in joy and be led forth in peace; the mountains and hills will burst into song before you, and all the trees of the field will clap their hands. Instead of the thornbush will grow the pine tree, and instead of briars the myrtle will grow. This will be for the LORD'S renown, for an everlasting sign, which will not be destroyed."

Isaiah 55:6-13

God at the reigns

The beauty of handing control for how I was to proceed to the Father is that I did not have to worry about determining the best path forward. I would have an awareness of the issues that God wanted me to be addressing and a varying sense of urgency on whether to move or wait. [God can be a nag when He wants you to move; procrastination leading to poor sleep and a constant guilty conscience. Conversely, when one moves to the best of one's ability to satisfy God's decrees, one's conscience is liberated and you can respond appropriately in the most extraordinary of circumstances.]

A large part of my prayers were focused on how to avoid the works becoming perverted. Even if God did give me the grace to succeed, how would I stop the deceiver perverting myself or those around me? I quickly realized that I was not clever enough to recognize all the deceiver's tricks, not strong enough to resist all

his temptations. Even if God gave me grace, then the people around me would not be safe. Further, even if God hypothetically protected us and we were successful that would open another conundrum for the deceiver to exploit: a debate as to who was greater, Jesus or myself.

The latter would be worse than if I had failed at all, and I had already had the Baptism Dream (see paper) before being commissioned that confirmed Jesus' unique and absolute authority as humanity's atoning sacrifice and advocate to the ultimate Creator. Thus all works had to be done to confirm and affirm Jesus, and at the appropriate point handed over to Jesus (which is the core purpose of this paper). I prayed to God and said that this was the only way I saw to maximize God's glory whilst minimizing the risks, and have since played this strategy in good faith.

Fortunately, this heralding strategy came in handy when I met Jesus in the flesh for the first time late December 2005. He had manifested to rightly remind me that I am not the embodiment of ALL the metaphysical elements of Revelation (oops in previous paper). Because I had been moving in good conscience to bring full honor to the Father and Jesus, I was not overwhelmed, and was able to thank him for visiting and suggest he go to the top to find the answers he was looking for. He replied with a rather terse comment that he knew where he was going, to which I replied that I was answering the question he had not asked, which is why he was there. This audacity could not have happened if I had a guilty conscience of trying to usurp Jesus' authority, not could it have happened if I had not been moving in good faith with God's missives and tuition.

Over the next few encounters, I was grateful that I had moved in faith, and also that I had complied with God's wishes in terms of not studying certain subjects in depth¹, because I was innocent of premeditated maneuvering ([Isaiah 33:14-16](#)). Thus if there was any tension, it was between Jesus and the Father with me just being the poor messenger in the middle. To give Jesus his due, he has his Father's sense of justice and does have the patience to allow the Father to present evidence to justify His choices. (Although part of me wonders if Jesus already knows the answers and the other events are for my benefit, so that I know that he knows... Things have been getting very confusing the last few weeks.)

These early encounters also brought home that the Father also puts in safeguards to protect his holy ones. For example, I was initially surprised that Jesus did not seem aware of my role from [Isaiah 49:2](#) **He made my mouth like a sharpened sword, in the shadow of his hand he hid me; he made me into a polished arrow and concealed me in his quiver.** This was my understanding from when first asked by God to do this work in 2001 (it was not just a case of doing the works, but doing it in tandem with God's so that there was an integrated dance between biblical interpretation and God's manifestations). [This is why God had groomed my psyche to have the human face that actively knew and hid the higher self by allowing the human to be publicly "broken", particularly by a pedophilic violent father and later by an inverted narcissist spouse.]

¹ Please forgive me for having a fear of God and being accused of idolatry. So whenever a model starts to become ornate I just tune out; that might be discussing the energy paths of acupuncture, to the mechanisms of natural medicine, to complex meditative Tantras designed to open chakras in sequence. Engaging in such models walks too closely to the biblical line of idolatry, witchcraft and/or spiritual adultery; so I understand there are souls who are fascinated by such things but stay away from all but a rudimentary awareness.

The above few paragraphs will enrage my enemies, who will exclaim that my describing the recent encounters is bragging or lying. But if I don't acknowledge the encounters, then all my testimony will be discredited because:

Dear friends, do not believe every spirit, but test the spirits to see whether they are from God, because many false prophets have gone out into the world. This is how you can recognize the Spirit of God: Every spirit that acknowledges that Jesus Christ has come in the flesh is from God, but every spirit that does not acknowledge Jesus is not from God. This is the spirit of the antichrist, which you have heard is coming and even now is already in the world. You, dear children, are from God and have overcome them, because the one who is in you is greater than the one who is in the world. They are from the world and therefore speak from the viewpoint of the world, and the world listens to them. We are from God, and whoever knows God listens to us; but whoever is not from God does not listen to us. This is how we recognize the Spirit of truth and the spirit of falsehood. (1 John 4:1-6)

Thus I embrace John's teachings and proceed to share the lessons recently revealed. Even if a bit personally disconcerted, I am glad that Jesus finally did manifest and my prayers are that he will again and not just on a personal level but on a global level because we are at a point in history that it is going to take miracles from God to break some of the vicious cycles in which humanity has become enmeshed.