

## Seiging the Deceiver's Final Walls

This is an incredibly hard paper to write. It is a revolting paper to write. It is one of those papers that to do it is to arose the wrath of one group of people, to not do it is to arose the wrath of another group of people. To do it is to disobey the missive to respect the church "elders", to not do it is to allow those purporting to represent the church to distort the word of God to preserve and build their power base.

I have been praying for over a week on this matter. Everyone involved is a victim, on one side is a position that there is evidence that there are parties are in the thrall of the deceiver and on the other side are those who are in a corner and feel there is no where to move without confrontation. There is a lesser path of not making waves, but the problem with this path is that it ignores that this drama is being played out across all the denominations and in many parishes in many nations.

Thus I embrace faith and hope that, if this be God's Will and God's Time, a fundamental healing of humanity's consciousness will become possible. The paper will repeat a little bit here and at the end, because if I do not share the reason for that tiniest hard core of hope that keeps me optimistically bouncing back for more, then people will not even bother to read the complete paper.

\* \* \*

On a personal level, I have been maneuvered into a corner that requires either capitulation to those who demand submission without question, leaving the church, or embracing hope that God has called me to be His witness and to provide testimony that will expose how the deceiver has brought Babylonian culture into the inner courts of the churches. To believe the latter is complete foolishness and there will be those who already claim that I am either a liar or of the deceiver because it is not humanly possible to provide truthful testimony of the things that I have been shown and led to understand. I have been told so many times in the last nine months that what is being done is not humanly possible, and therefore I am either evil or a liar. Yet, God in His graciousness has granted me with the smallest kernel of truth that there is a possibility that makes this possible. That truth is that but for the grace of God, I would be a bastard child with an unknown father.

My mother conceived a child in inauspicious circumstances. She claimed that she was pregnant and that the only man she had ever slept with was the man who became my earthly father. This man denied paternity, insisted that he always used a condom and that he inspected them to make sure there was no leakages. He denied that my mother was pregnant and insisted on a pregnancy test before he would even consent to the engagement. If my own mother's character had been less honorable, the small community in which she was raised would not have believed her claim that my earthly father was the only man she'd ever slept with (and this was in double inauspicious circumstances because some local boys had drugged her coca cola, and my father whisked her away before they could harm her (taking sexual favours as

his due reward for being chivalrous)). How can I know all this? Because my father hated my mother and did his level best to destroy her – including slandering her character to her own daughters, and my mother in turn would try and prove my father's claim that he loved his children was insincere because he tried to reject me before I was even born.

God deals with possibilities. He creates circumstances where His Will and Word may be made manifest, but full manifestation requires those who genuinely fear God (fear of God's wrath or being a hindrance to demonstrating God's full glory overcomes the fear of death, suffering or complete rejection by the earthly world) and thus to move in complete faith to honour God's Will. There are millions of unknown saints throughout the millennia who have been called to do this work, who gladly surrender all (including their name and personal honour) for the greater glory of being God's unrecognized servant. The tragedy is that the deceiver recognizes that such souls are his greatest enemy (especially the female ones) and he has gone to extraordinary lengths to suppress and distort the truth about such matters.

\* \* \*

Now people might ask, what is happening that requires such a grandiose entrance? With hurricane Katrina, God thrust a spear into secular humanism and left a wound that can only be healed by Spirit, or by the deceiver regaining his glamour and returning people back into drunken Babylonian consciousness. It is no surprise that at such a time the deceiver has again move aggressively and openly to thwart God's will (refer the actions that precipitated the Peace In Our Time paper) after the tsunami.

The last week has been particularly unpleasant at a local level, especially last week's bible study (which has precipitated the week of prayers and thus this paper). The Sunday before that it was announced that our assistant minister is leaving our church by Christmas of this year. That is not that remarkable, he has been there for three-and-a-half years and my own bible study group at the end of last year was acknowledging we would lose him shortly after the senior minister became established because he was just too good to stay indefinitely as an assistant minister. This was not remarkable nor a surprise. What was a surprise was to see the open gloating by one of the local church leader in the bible study that they had been privy to moving him on and later in prayer points that they again gloated that the parish had developed an excellent reputation for grooming and moving on ministers.

Again, this is not that remarkable without other background information. For example, within this same bible study the question of giving aid to Katrina victims came up. This same woman said that it was ridiculous and that overseas donors were wasting their time, the US is a rich nation and they can take care of their own. I challenged that they had not taken care of their own and that the money was not going to the complacent rich but to those that their own nation had failed to protect from this disaster. There were several acrimonious comments on this point but the most important was that I exclaimed what about Jesus' exhortation to love thy neighbor as thyself! Her

response? They are rich nation; they don't need us to look after their poor. There were more words about whether to help these people, and I also said at one point that if I had \$2 million and thought it would drive a wedge big enough to expose the Babylonian core that sacrifices its own people, that I would donate that money. Her comment? That I should be respecting the authorities, she read from Ephesians 6:13 to justify her position, and then refuted my reference to Paul exhorted us to challenge the authorities in Ephesians 6:12. She said that did not apply to the churches or governments. I said I would challenge the authorities *especially where there was corruption or misuse of power within the churches*, and she said that was *never* acceptable and that to do so was ungodly.

This woman has positioned herself as one of the local church leaders, so when I speak against her, I am breaking her fundamental demand that under no circumstances is it acceptable to challenge the authority of church elders.

Thus a week of prayer: move, leave or simply go silently into captivity? After much contemplation I have elected that it is better to move than be silent because of the question: What would God/Jesus want to me to do if I stumbled across a plot to assassinate the Pope/Archdeacon? What about if that plot was being done by a faction that had minions within my local parish and an unknown boundary of those between the parish and the upper echelons? How would I feel and how could I face God if they succeeded in their plan? (Especially if I had seen the warning signs and stayed silent?)

Now there will be those who dismiss this paper as being melodramatic. No one is doing anything so bold as an actual assassination. The woman is mad, foolish, look at the other ridiculous claims that she has made that are just too weird to be believable.

So then there is contemplation, am I over reacting? Am I being driven to personal glory and setting up a counter power base? On the latter, we have already seen the lengths to which I am prepared to embarrass myself and deny myself of any shred of human dignity that stands in the way of Jesus Second Coming and an end to the atrocities of this earthly realm. On the former, is this an isolated event? Are there other events that indicate this is not an isolated phenomenon?

The answer is that it is not isolated. For example, early this year I entered into an extended debate with the two "leaders" of my bible study (including the woman spoken of above) that came out of their dismissing the Anglican leadership's missive for 10% of Sydney people in bible based churches by 2012 as unrealistic. This discussion queried the sensibilities of the leadership in proposing the figure 10% and thus the general sensibilities of the leadership. My initial supporting comments were dismissed as someone not of the inner core, uninformed, and probably ungodly anyway. My response was to ask who in the room had read why the leadership had selected a 10% target. (Praise be to God that I'd read Jensen's paper on the Anglican media website in the week before this discussion). I then went on to expound at length that Jensen himself admitted it was an arbitrary figure, that that figure

was set, not because it was the figure, but because it gave tangible quantitative indicator that could be tracked and measured. At further poo-poo'ing I then went on to say that Jensen was looking for a change in Sydney's culture. That instead of Christianity being in decline with people being too ashamed to admit their Christianity, and unable to intelligibly describe what it meant to be a Christian anyway (and thus be able to "walk the talk") that the 10% figure made it likely that every person in Sydney would encounter or know a solid Christian. This would enable the gospel to happen spontaneously and easily, for example at the local soccer match or baby shower.

Is this the only example of white anting? Alas, no. Early in 2005 I personally witnessed her husband trying to white ant Peter Jensen's credibility in regards to how he had handled the tsunami whilst at the church picnic. Again (praise be to God) I'd read the Anglican Media website and Peter Jensen's speech and was able to comfortably respond that the media had quite seriously distorted Jensen's speech. (And before the Jensen faction and allies get too complacent, I was really pissed off at the lack of humility and compassion that "but for the grace of God" it could have been an Australian city, and overlooking Jesus' remonstrations that those in Sodom were no worse than those in Jesus time (and thus by extrapolation those in our time)). Now this conversation at a church picnic was not the first time he had instigated these kind of discussions, there had been at least two occasions earlier that year in my husband's bible study.

So now we are dealing with behaviours that undermine either the authority of Jesus' missives and/or the Sydney dioceses' leadership. Behaviours that are done in church-based events where these two individuals are seen as parish leaders. Behaviours that are not done as a once off or in highly emotive situations (the latter two examples were in unremarkable circumstances and initiated by the other parties).

Again it seems trivial, but again I go back to the bible and say that the serpent's subtle innuendo's to Eve in Genesis 3 also seemed trivial. Is what these people doing less crafty than the serpent in the garden? Let's draw the parallel a bit further: "*Did God really say, 'You must not eat from any tree in the garden'?*" (Genesis 3:1) compared to "*Does the diocese really mean 10% of the Sydney community?*"

[And as an apparent sideline: Can I tell you how appalled I was when doing the child protection training to hear the trainer instructing church representatives to be more careful in a school environment than a church environment? When I asked why, being told that there were more witnesses and thus more chance of being caught! Plus this followed an opening that explained that the Faithfulness in Service was being done to avoid litigation issues (I reeled at the idea that this was being done for financial expediency reasons, and not for the reason of protecting our youth!) The trainer is a sincere and honest Christian, but doesn't it say something about the bankruptcy of our church when we package the course to convince the cynical that they need to comply not for the sake of protecting the people but avoid

litigation and loss of reputation? (Which can be cynically read as it's okay to hurt people, just so long as no one can prove you've done it). Now I am sure that the trainer did not mean it this way, but it is worrying that the Faithfulness In Service needs to be packaged this way. (Are our parishes so corrupt that abuse of parishioners or their children isn't seen as defiance against God's Will and our dishonoring our role as God's elect representatives?)]

Again, I am sorry, but I again see hypocrisy. I am to be submissive and silent whilst my "elders" deny Jesus' message (love thy neighbour) and white ant the diocese top leadership? What are they hoping, to take down the leadership by proving that they set unrealistic goals and unwieldy strategies?

Further, because of my previous history in dealing with abuse (both at home and in workplaces) and later being vindicated, I recognize coercion, shunning and misuse of informal power. I recognize those who crave personal glory at the expense of the greater good. I recognize the glazing in the eyes that happens to those caught in the thrall of a deceiver's illusion. I see that at this time in history probably every single institution that is meant to represent the glory of God has kind of corruption at one level or another. Because it is so systemic, I can and will forgive those who are involved in such actions: *"Forgive them Father, for they know not what they do"*.

Okay, so now we come back to why am I so foolish as to even attempt a letter such as this. Don't I know that it is humanly impossible to fulfill Revelation and that it can only be fulfilled in God's time and by God's grace (*Not by power, not by might but my Spirit says the Lord almighty* (Zechariah 4:6))

It is not humanly possible to fulfill Revelation. And as we saw in the Peace In Our Time paper the deceiver and his minions have stitched up the human solutions. Further, they've stitched it up to the point that if anyone claims to hear in Spirit or move with Spirit that they are either insane, from the deceiver or a liar. So the deceiver sits back with arms crossed. Then someone pops up with some early evidence that Spirit might be moving, that's okay: deny or destroy the evidence. The evidence goes public on the forum. Okay, so there's some fluke or it's a "born again" experience and the euphoria will pass. Oops: the euphoria doesn't pass and Spirit just keeps being pumped out. So then challenge her sanity, make it appear she is out of control, gag her from the site. Forty days later, she pops back, still as lucid as before. Worse, prophecy (Katrina) continues to be fulfilled. Solution. Complete gagging, destroy her support network and discredit her in the local community (One of the other "joys" last week was having a trusted witness confirm what I have suspected for sometime now, that there has been systematic undermining of my character and credibility, not just within the parish community, but also in my children's school and the broader community).

So now we have a situation that I am told I am not to speak (thus no more evidence of what I am can be accumulated) but isn't this suppression of the truth? A fundamental no-no of the New Testament (see Romans 1:18). Solution: make out that I am complete liar and insane, because it is humanly impossible to present such kind of testimony. So now not only have I been

deprived of a support network, but I have been accused of sins unspecified, had evidence submitted, sentenced guilty and meted out punishment. All of this without any element of natural justice (I have never been formally accused; have had no chance to query the reasonableness of otherwise of the accusations, no chance to see if the evidence is true or credible, no chance to see if the accusations have been unreasonable extrapolated or evidence taken out of context). Further, this has been done by people who will conveniently ignore basic tenets of both the New and the Old Testaments.

I hold my local ministers and parish to be either generally unaware of what is happening or gagged into captivity; and thus for those who have not played an active role they are innocent to the point of complete redemption. For those who have accepted my testimony before this paper, I give witness to Jesus of their patience and endurance for they believed on faith alone, loved as God would exhort them to love, and hoped when there was no earthly reason to hope.

Now the bible tells us that the deceiver can not and will not fall by human hands. We also know that we can not speed the day of the fulfillment of God's Word. We know that not even Jesus knows the hour of absolute judgment. But we do know that God himself will strike the final blow to the serpent:

*You came out to deliver your people, to save your anointed one.  
You crushed the leader of the land of wickedness, you stripped  
him from head to foot.*

*Selah*

*With his own spear you pierced his head when his warriors  
stormed out to scatter us, gloating as though about to devour the  
wretched who were in hiding. (Habakkuk 3:13-14)*

Now God might get to spear the head of the serpent; but on behalf of Spirit and all God's people, I insist that we put our foot down and crush the head of the serpent, depriving the serpent and his minions free egress to walk through humanity's consciousness. The only way to do so is to have complete faith that God keeps all of His covenants, is capable again of fulfilling all of His covenants for all of His people who walk in His ways and honour His name. If people can accept that God would raise such a foolish person to be His confirmed messenger, then the churches will embrace diversity and corruption control (for we need to watch each other's back to make sure the deceiver is not whispering sweet nothings into our brother or sisters' ear).

People might ask who am I to ask such a thing? My answer is that I am a no body; whose name, form and position are not and have never been relevant. Everything that I am is destined for God's glory and to confirm Jesus' absolute authority as the Alpha and the Omega.

Wisdom has always be feminine. Consider Proverbs 1:20-33, *especially*  
*"Wisdom calls aloud in the street, she raises her voice in the public squares"*

and what about Proverbs 3:13-18; Proverbs 4:5-9; Proverbs 7:4-5; Proverbs 8:1-11; Proverbs 9:1-6; Proverbs 14:33.

And Jesus says in Matthew 11:19 ' *But wisdom is proved right by her actions.*' And ponder Jesus' words in Luke 7:31-35.

“To what, then, can I compare the people of this generation? What are they like? They are like children sitting in the marketplace and calling out to each other: “ ‘We played the flute for you, and you did not dance; we sang a dirge, and you did not cry.’ For John the Baptist came neither eating bread nor drinking wine, and you say, ‘He has a demon.’ The Son of Man came eating and drinking, and you say, ‘Here is a glutton and a drunkard, a friend of tax collectors and “sinners.”’ *But wisdom is proved right by all her children.*”

And isn't Proverbs 24:14 a passage for these times? *Know also that wisdom is sweet to your soul; if you find it, there is a future hope for you, and your hope will not be cut off.*